arXiv:1604.06551v1 [math.AT] 22 Apr 2016

CROSSED MODULES AS MAPS BETWEEN CONNECTED COMPONENTS OF TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

EMMANUEL D. FARJOUN YOAV SEGEV

Abstract. The purpose of this note is to observe that a homomorphism of discrete groups $f : \Gamma \to G$ arises as the induced map $\pi_0(\mathfrak{M}) \to \pi_0(\mathfrak{X})$ on path components of some *closed normal* inclusion of topological groups $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$, if and only if the map f can be equipped with a crossed module structure. In that case an essentially unique realization $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ exists by homotopically discrete topological groups.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to show that any crossed-module map (any *normal* map in our terminology, see [FS]) is obtained as the natural map on the group of connected components $\pi_0(\mathfrak{M}) \to \pi_0(\mathfrak{X})$, induced from an inclusion of a closed normal subgroup $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ of a topological group $\mathfrak{X}$. In addition, the groups $\mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{X}$ can be taken to be *homotopically discrete*, i.e. with contractible connected components. In what follows we use the term "normal map" of groups to refer to a map $f : N \to G$ that can be equipped with a crossed module structure $G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$.

Theorem 1.1. *For a topological group $\mathfrak{G}$ let $\pi_0(\mathfrak{G})$ denote the group of connected components of $\mathfrak{G}$, that is $\pi_0(\mathfrak{G}) = \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{G}_1$, where $\mathfrak{G}_1$ is the connected component of the identity of $\mathfrak{G}$. Then:*

1. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{M}$ is a normal subgroup of the topological group $\mathfrak{X}$. Then the induced map $\pi_0(\mathfrak{M}) \to \pi_0(\mathfrak{X})$ given by $\mathfrak{M}_1\gamma \mapsto \mathfrak{X}_1\gamma, \quad \gamma \in \mathfrak{M}$ is a normal map.*
2. *Conversely, given a normal map $n\colon N \to G$ of discrete groups, there is a topological group $\mathfrak{X}$ and a closed normal subgroup $\mathfrak{M}$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ with a commuting diagram and isomorphisms as indicated:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0(\mathfrak{M}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{n}} & \pi_0(\mathfrak{X}) \\ \cong\downarrow & & \downarrow\cong \\ N & \xrightarrow{n} & G \end{array}$$

and with $\bar{n}$ the naturally induced map of connected components.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 18G30, 55U10, 57T30.

Key words and phrases. crossed module, normal map, topological group, connected components.

(3) *In addition, the topological groups* $\mathfrak{M}$ *and* $\mathfrak{X}$ *in part (2) realizing the map* n *can be chosen to be homotopically discrete.*

Moreover, given the proofs of the above, it is not hard to see that for any map of groups $f : \Gamma \to G$ the set of crossed module structures on f (up to equivalence) is naturally isomorphic to the set of "realization" of f, i.e. maps of homotopically discrete topological groups $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ such that f is the induced map $\pi_0(\mathfrak{M}) \to \pi_0(\mathfrak{X})$ (again up to the obvious equivalence of such realizations).

1.2. **An example.** Given a normal map $n : N \to G$ (a crossed module map), we will call an *inclusion* map $\bar{n}\colon \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{X}$ as in Theorem 1.1(2), *a closed normal realization* of n. One example to keep in mind is the trivial map $\mathbb{Z} \to 0$ from the group of integers to the zero group, taken as a map with a trivial crossed-module structure. This map is induced from the closed normal realization $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ of the integers into the group of real numbers; both topological groups here are homotopically discrete. Thus our point is that such a realization can be made for *every crossed module map* $n : N \to G$, and it is essentially unique with the given a crossed module structure on the map n. This is not surprising in light of the well known comment by Quillen that any normal map n is of the form $\pi_1(F) \to \pi_1(E)$ for some map of connected pointed topological spaces $F \to E$, which is a part of a fibration sequence of connected spaces $F \to E \to B$. Taking loop spaces we get a map of loop spaces $\Omega F \to \Omega E$ whose "quotient" is the loop space ΩB. Thus the given map n is now isomorphic to the map induced on path components $\pi_0(\Omega F) \to \pi_0(\Omega E)$.

Hence our point in this note is to comment that the loop-map of loop spaces given by Quillen's observation can always be thought of, and has a closed normal realization as an inclusion of (homotopically discrete) topological groups, as in Theorem 1.1. In fact, this realization as a map of topological groups is probably clear to the experts, since loop-maps of loop spaces can be "rigidified" into maps of topological groups with the same underlying homotopy types.

Here we present a *direct construction* that yields *homotopically discrete spaces* as realizations, by Theorem 1.1(3). In fact, it is not hard to show that any other closed normal realization of n maps uniquely to the homotopically discrete realization constructed in part (3) of Theorem 1.1, i.e., the realization in Theorem 1.1(3) is the universal-terminal one.

1.3. **Topological groups and simplicial groups.** The main line of our construction in Theorem 1.1(2) uses *simplicial groups* in order to construct the topological groups $\mathfrak{X}$ and its closed normal subgroup $\mathfrak{M}$. Indeed, we use the simplicial group structure obtained in [FS] on the *bar construction* $\mathrm{Bar}(G, N)$. One observes that in order to prove part (2) of Theorem 1.1 it suffices to construct a closed normal realization of simplicial groups that induces the given normal map on path components. The point is that one

can realize a simplicial set $X_\bullet$ as a topological space $|X_\bullet|$. As is well known: (i) realization turns a simplicial group into a topological group and (ii) realization turns a normal simplicial subgroup into a closed normal subgroup. These facts follow from observations of J. Milnor (see [M]). The reason for assertion (i) is that realization as a functor from simplicial sets to topological spaces strictly commutes with products via a natural homeomorphism: $|X_\bullet \times Y_\bullet| \cong |X_\bullet| \times |Y_\bullet|$. Also, (ii) follows from the fact that a short exact sequence of simplicial groups turns, via realization, to a short exact sequence of topological groups.

2. Proof of part (1) of Theorem 1.1

Recall that as in [FS] we (usually) apply maps on the right. We first need the following (easy) lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a group and let K, M be normal subgroups of G with $K \leq M$. Let $\Gamma \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal subgroup of G containing K and suppose that $[\Gamma, M] \leq K$. Then the map*

$$n\colon \Gamma/K \to G/M : \quad K\gamma \mapsto M\gamma,$$

induced by the canonical homomorphism $G/K \to G/M$, is a normal map.

Proof. For $g \in G$, let $\hat{\ell}(g) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma/K)$ be defined by $K\gamma \mapsto K\gamma^g$. Then $\hat{\ell}(g) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma/K)$ because Γ and K are normal in G. The map $\hat{\ell}\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma/K)$ is a homomorphism. Since $[\Gamma, M] \leq K$, it follows that $M \leq \ker(\hat{\ell})$ and so $\hat{\ell}$ induces a homomorphism $\ell\colon G/M \to \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma/K)$ defined by $(K\gamma)^{Mg} = K\gamma^g$. We check that n is a normal map. We have

$$\big((K\gamma)^{Mg}\big)\, n = (K\gamma^g)n = M\gamma^g = (M\gamma)^{Mg} = ((K\gamma)n)^{Mg},$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $g \in G$, where $(M\gamma)^{Mg}$ is conjugation in G/M. Thus (NM1) (of subsection 2.4 in [FS]) holds.

Next

$$(K\gamma)^{(K\delta)n} = (K\gamma)^{M\delta} = K\gamma^\delta = (K\gamma)^{K\delta},$$

for all $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$, where $(K\gamma)^{K\delta}$ is conjugation in Γ/K. Hence (NM2) holds. □

Notation 2.2. For a topological group $\mathfrak{G}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{G}_1$ the connected component of the identity of $\mathfrak{G}$. We let $\pi_0(\mathfrak{G})$ be the group of connected components of $\mathfrak{G}$, so $\pi_0(\mathfrak{G}) = \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{G}_1$. Notice that if $\mathfrak{G}$ is discrete, then $\pi_0(\mathfrak{G}) = \mathfrak{G}$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a topological group and let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a normal subgroup of $\mathfrak{X}$. Then*

1. *$\mathfrak{M}_1$ is normal in $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_1 \leq \mathfrak{X}_1$;*
2. *$[\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{M}] \leq \mathfrak{M}_1$;*

(3) *the map* $n\colon \pi_0(\mathfrak{M}) \to \pi_0(\mathfrak{X})$ *defined by* $\mathfrak{M}_1\gamma \mapsto \mathfrak{X}_1\gamma$, $\gamma \in \mathfrak{M}$, *is a normal map.*

Proof. Since $(\mathfrak{M}_1)a = \mathfrak{M}_1$, for any continuous automorphism a of $\mathfrak{M}$, and since the restriction of conjugation by $g \in \mathfrak{X}$ to $\mathfrak{M}$ is a continuous automorphism of $\mathfrak{M}$, it follows that $\mathfrak{M}_1 \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{X}$. Clearly $\mathfrak{M}_1 \leq \mathfrak{X}_1$.

For each $m \in \mathfrak{M}$ the map $[\ ,m]\colon x \mapsto [x,m] = x^{-1}x^m$ is a continuous map $\mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$ because it is the product of the two continuous maps: $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ and $x \mapsto x^m$. Hence, since $\mathfrak{X}_1$ is connected, its image under the map $[\ ,m]$ is connected and it is contained in $\mathfrak{M}$. It follows that $[\mathfrak{X}_1, m] \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_1$, so $[\mathfrak{X}_1, \mathfrak{M}] \leq \mathfrak{M}_1$ and part (2) holds. Part (3) follows from Lemma 2.1. □

3. Proof of parts (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove parts (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.1. For this we use the results of [FS]. Our notation is as in [FS].

Given a group homomorphism $n\colon N \to G$, the *simplicial set* $\mathrm{Bar}(G,N)$ is discussed in [FS, subsection 2.3] (note that the map n is suppressed from the notation). In section 4 of [FS] it is shown that when the map n admits a crossed module structure, then $\mathrm{Bar}(G,N)$ can be equipped with a *simplicial group structure*. Moreover, in this case the natural map $G \to \mathrm{Bar}(G,N)_k$ is a homomorphism, for all $k \geq 0$. We then say that $\mathrm{Bar}(G,N)$ can be equipped with a *normal* simplicial group structure (the interested reader can look at [FS, Definition 2.4.1]).

In order to prove parts (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.1 we construct a simplicial normal embedding $N_\bullet \hookrightarrow X_\bullet$, where $N_\bullet$ is a constant simplicial group ($N_k \cong N$) and $X_\bullet$ is given in subsection 3.2 below. The simplicial group $X_\bullet$ is homotopically descrete and equivalent to G.

To show that $N_\bullet \hookrightarrow X_\bullet$ is a normal embedding with the required properties on the connected components, we present $N_\bullet$ as a kernel of a simplicial group map from $X_\bullet$ to $\mathrm{Bar}(G,N)$.

The first step in the construction of $X_\bullet$ is to consider the contractible simplicial group $\mathrm{Bar}(N,N)$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let N be a group. Then* $\mathrm{Bar}(N,N)$, *where the map $n'\colon N \to N$ used to define* $\mathrm{Bar}(N,N)$ *is the identity map, with the multiplication on* $\mathrm{Bar}(N,N)_k$ *defined by*

$$(a_0,\dots,a_k) * (b_0,\dots,b_k) = (a_0b_0, a_1^{b_0}b_1, a_2^{b_0b_1}b_2, \dots, a_k^{b_0\cdots b_{k-1}}b_k).$$

gives a normal simplicial group structure on $\mathrm{Bar}(N,N)$.

Proof. Recall that for $k \geq 0$, $\mathrm{Bar}(N,N)_k := \overbrace{N \times \cdots \times N}^{(k+1)\text{-times}}$. Since n' is the identity map, it is a normal map with the normal structure: conjugation in N. Hence the lemma follows from [FS, Theorem 4.1]. □

Throughout the rest of this section $n\colon N \to G$ is a normal map with the normal structure $\ell\colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(N)$, as defined in [FS, subsection 2.5, p. 362]. As in [FS] we denote

$$\ell(g)\colon a \mapsto a^g,\ a \in N \text{ and } g \in G.$$

3.2. **The simplicial group $G \ltimes \mathrm{Bar}(N, N)$.**

The purpose of this subsection is to define the simplicial group

$$X_\bullet = G \ltimes \mathrm{Bar}(N, N).$$

Recall that $\mathrm{Bar}(G, N)$ is endowed with a normal simplicial group structure by [FS, section 4, p. 365]

Lemma 3.2.1. *The map $\ell_k\colon G \mapsto \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Bar}(N, N)_k)$ defined by*

$$(*) \qquad \ell_k(g)\colon (a_0, \dots, a_k) \mapsto (a_0^g, \dots, a_k^g)$$

is a group homomorphism, for all $k \geq 0$.

Proof. By [FS, Lemma 3.5(1)], the group N_k defined in [FS, Notation 2.4.4(2)] for $k \geq 1$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Bar}(N, N)_{k-1}$. By [FS, Lemma 3.5(2)], the map defined in $(*)$ is conjugation by $(g, 1, \dots, 1)$ in $\mathrm{Bar}(G, N)_{k+1}$, hence this map is an automorphism of $\mathrm{Bar}(N, N)_k$. Clearly ℓ_k is a homomorphism. □

Notation 3.2.2. We denote by $X_\bullet$ the following simplicial group (see Lemma 3.2.3 below for a proof). For $k \geq 0$ let $\ell_k\colon G \mapsto \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Bar}(N, N)_k)$ be as in Lemma 3.2.1. We let X_k be the semidirect product $X_k = G \ltimes_{\ell_k} \mathrm{Bar}(N, N)_k$. We define the face maps by $d_i(g, (a_0, \dots, a_k)) = (g, d_i(a_0, \dots, a_k))$, $k \geq 1$, and the degeneracy maps by $s_i(g, (a_0, \dots, a_k)) = (g, s_i(a_0, \dots, a_k))$, $i \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.2.3. *Let $X_\bullet$ be as in notation 3.2.2. Then $X_\bullet$ is a simplicial group.*

Proof. We first show that d_0 is a group homomorphism. Let

$$(g, (a_0, \dots, a_k)),\ (h, (b_0, \dots, b_k)) \in X_k.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}(g, (a_0, \dots, a_k)) * (h, (b_0, \dots, b_k)) &= (gh, (a_0^h, \dots, a_k^h) * (b_0, \dots, b_k)) \\ &= (gh, (a_0^h b_0,\ (a_1^h)^{b_0} b_1,\ (a_2^h)^{b_0 b_1} b_2,\ \dots\ , (a_k^h)^{b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{k-1}} b_k)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&d_0\big((g, (a_0, \dots, a_k)) * (h, (b_0, \dots, b_k))\big) \\ &= d_0\big((gh, (a_0^h b_0,\ (a_1^h)^{b_0} b_1,\ (a_2^h)^{b_0 b_1} b_2,\ \dots\ , (a_k^h)^{b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{k-1}} b_k))\big) \\ &= (gh,\ ((a_0 a_1)^h b_0 b_1,\ (a_2^h)^{b_0 b_1} b_2,\ \dots\ , (a_k^h)^{b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{k-1}} b_k)).\end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$d_0(g,\ (a_0, \dots, a_k)) = (g, (a_0 a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k))$$

and

$$d_0(h,\,(b_0,\dots,b_k)) = (h,(b_0b_1,b_2,\dots,b_k)).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_0(g,\,(a_0,\dots,a_k)) * d_0(h,\,(b_0,\dots,b_k))\\
&= (g,(a_0a_1,a_2,\dots,a_k)) * (h,(b_0b_1,b_2,\dots,b_k))\\
&= (gh,((a_0a_1)^h,a_2^h,\dots,a_k^h) * (b_0b_1,b_2,\dots,b_k))\\
&= (gh,\,((a_0a_1)^hb_0b_1,\,(a_2^h)^{b_0b_1}b_2,\,\dots\,,(a_k^h)^{b_0b_1\cdots b_{k-1}}b_k)).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that d_0 is a group homomorphism. The proof that d_i is a group homomorphism, for $1 \le i < k$, is identical.

Next we show that d_k is a group homomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_k\big((g,(a_0,\dots,a_k)) * (h,(b_0,\dots,b_k))\big)\\
&= d_k\big((gh,(a_0^hb_0,\,(a_1^h)^{b_0}b_1,\,(a_2^h)^{b_0b_1}b_2,\,\dots\,,(a_k^h)^{b_0b_1\cdots b_{k-1}}b_k))\big)\\
&= (gh,\,(a_0^hb_0,\,(a_1^h)^{b_0}b_1,\,\dots\,,(a_{k-1}^h)^{b_0b_1\cdots b_{k-2}}b_{k-1}))
\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_k(g,\,(a_0,\dots,a_k)) * d_k(h,\,(b_0,\dots,b_k))\\
&= (g,(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_{k-1})) * (h,(b_0,b_1,\dots,b_{k-1}))\\
&= (gh,\,(a_0^hb_0,\,(a_1^h)^{b_0}b_1,\,\dots\,,(a_{k-1}^h)^{b_0b_1\cdots b_{k-2}}b_{k-1})).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof that the degeneracies are group homomorphisms is similar and omitted here. □

Lemma 3.3. *The map* $\eta\colon X_\bullet \to \mathrm{Bar}(G,N)$ *defined by*

$$\eta\colon (g,(a_0,\dots,a_k) \to (g(a_0n),a_1,\dots,a_k),$$

is a homomorphism of simplicial groups.

Proof. We first show that $\eta_k\colon X_k \to \mathrm{Bar}(G,N)_k$ is a group homomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[(g,(a_0,\dots,a_k)) * (h,(b_0,\dots,b_k))]\eta_k &= (gh,(a_0^hb_0,(a_1^h)^{b_0}b_1,\dots,(a_k^h)^{b_0\cdots b_{k-1}}b_k))\eta_k\\
&= (gh(a_0^hb_0)n,(a_1^h)^{b_0}b_1,\dots,(a_k^h)^{b_0\cdots b_{k-1}}b_k)\\
&= (gh(a_0n)^h(b_0n),(a_1^h)^{b_0}b_1,\dots,(a_k^h)^{b_0\cdots b_{k-1}}b_k)\\
&= (g(a_0n)h(b_0n),(a_1^h)^{b_0}b_1,\dots,(a_k^h)^{b_0\cdots b_{k-1}}b_k),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that n is a normal map, while

$$\begin{aligned}
(g,(a_0,\dots,a_k))\eta_k \circ (h,b_0,\dots,b_k)\eta_k &= (g(a_0n),a_1,\dots,a_k) \circ (h(b_0n),b_1,\dots,b_k)\\
&= (g(a_0n)h(b_0n),a_1^{h(b_0n)}b_1,a_2^{h(b_0n)(b_1)n}b_2,\dots a_k^{h(b_0n)(b_1\cdots b_{k-1})n}b_k)\\
&= (g(a_0n)h(b_0n),(a_1^h)^{b_0}b_1,\dots,(a_k^h)^{b_0\cdots b_{k-1}}b_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we show that η commutes with the face and degeneracy maps. That is $\eta_k d_i = d_i\eta_{k-1}$, for all $k \ge 1$. And $\eta_k s_i = s_i\eta_{k+1}$, for all $k \ge 0$.

We first check it for d_0. We have

$$(g,(a_0,\dots,a_k))\eta_k d_0 = (g(a_0n),a_1,\dots,a_k)d_0 = (g(a_0a_1)n,a_2,\dots,a_k),$$

while

$$(g,(a_0,\dots,a_k))d_0\eta_{k-1} = (g,a_0a_1,a_2,\dots,a_k)\eta_{k-1} = (g(a_0a_1)n,a_2,\dots,a_k).$$

For $0<i<k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(g,(a_0,\dots,a_k))\eta_k d_i &= (g(a_0n),a_1,\dots,a_k)d_i\\ &= (g(a_0n),\dots,a_ia_{i+1},a_{i+2},\dots,a_k),\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}(g,(a_0,\dots,a_k))d_i\eta_{k-1} &= (g,a_0,\dots,a_ia_{i+1},a_{i+2},\dots a_k)\eta_{k-1}\\ &= (g(a_0n),\dots,a_ia_{i+1},a_{i+2},\dots,a_k).\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}(g,(a_0,\dots,a_k))\eta_k d_k &= (g(a_0n),a_1,\dots,a_k)d_k\\ &= (g(a_0n),a_1,\dots,a_{k-1}),\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}(g,(a_0,\dots,a_k))d_k\eta_{k-1} &= (g,a_0,\dots a_{k-1})\eta_{k-1}\\ &= (g(a_0n),a_1,\dots,a_{k-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Checking that η commutes with the degeneracy maps is similar and omitted. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\eta\colon X_\bullet \to \mathrm{Bar}(G,N)$ be the morphism of simplicial groups defined in Lemma 3.3. Then $\ker\eta = M_\bullet$ is the following normal simplicial subgroup of $X_\bullet$:*

$$M_k = \{(an,(a^{-1},\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{k\text{-times}})) \mid a\in N\},\quad k\geq 0.$$

Proof. This is immediate from the definitions. □

Recall that the (path) connected components of a simplicial group $H_\bullet$, denoted $\pi_0(H_\bullet)$, are defined as the equivalence classes of H_0 modulo the equivalence relation given by $d_0(y)\sim d_1(y)$, for $y\in H_1$. The *group of connected components* of $H_\bullet$ is thus $\pi_0(H_\bullet) = H_0/V$ where V is the connected component of the identity element in H_0.

Further, the geometric realization of $H_\bullet$, which we denote $|H_\bullet|$, is a topological group with the same group of connected components as that of $H_\bullet$, that is $\pi_0(H_\bullet) = \pi_0(|H_\bullet|)$.

Remark 3.5. Note that $M_\bullet$ of Lemma 3.4 is discrete. Indeed, if $(an,(a^{-1},1))\in M_1$, then $d_0((an,(a^{-1},1))) = (an,a^{-1}) = d_1((an,(a^{-1},1)))$.

Recall that for a topological group $\mathfrak{G}$ we denote by $\mathfrak{G}_1$ the connected components of the identity of $\mathfrak{G}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let* $\mathfrak{X} := |X_\bullet|$ *and* $\mathfrak{M} := |M_\bullet|$.

(1) $\mathfrak{X}_1 = \{(1, a)) \mid a \in N\} \cong N$. *Hence*
$$\pi_0(\mathfrak{X}) = X_0/\mathfrak{X}_1 = (G \ltimes_\ell N)/\mathfrak{X}_1 \cong G.$$
(2) $\pi_0(\mathfrak{M}) = M_0 = \{(an, a^{-1}) \mid a \in N\} \cong N$.
(3) *Let* $\iota\colon \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{X}$ *be the inclusion map and let* $\bar{n}\colon \pi_0(\mathfrak{M}) \to \pi_0(\mathfrak{X})$ *be the map induced from* ι. *Then we have the following commutative diagram*
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0(\mathfrak{M}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{n}} & \pi_0(\mathfrak{X}) \\ {\scriptstyle (an,a^{-1})\mapsto a}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (g,1)\mathfrak{X}_1\mapsto g} \\ N & \xrightarrow{n} & G \end{array}$$
where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms.

Proof. For part (1), let $(g, a) \in X_0$. Then (g, a) is in the same connected component of $(1, 1)$ iff there exists $(h, (a_0, a_1)) \in X_1$, such that $d_0((h, (a_0, a_1)) = (1, 1)$ and $d_1((h, (a_0, a_1))) = (g, a)$. Hence $(h, a_0a_1) = (1, 1)$ and $(h, a_0) = (g, a)$. Thus $h = 1$ and $a_1 = a_0^{-1}$. Parts (2) and (3) are obvious. □

Note now that Lemma 3.6 is part (2) of Theorem 1.1. Part (3) follows immediately from the constructions above. Since $\mathrm{Bar}(N, N)$ is contractible, the map of simplicial groups is by construction a map of homotopically discrete spaces. □

References

[FS] E. D. Farjoun, Y. Segev, *Crossed modules as homotopy normal maps,* Topology Appl. **157** (2010), no. 2, 359–368.
[M] J. P. May, *Simplicial ojects in algebraic topology,* University of Chicago Press, 1992.

Emmanuel D. Farjoun, Department of Mathematics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Givat Ram, Jerusalem 91904, Israel
E-mail address: farjoun@math.huji.ac.il

Yoav Segev, Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel
E-mail address: yoavs@math.bgu.ac.il